The COVID-19 emergency is over. Let’s strengthen public health to avert the next crisis.
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This Thursday, May 11, marks the end of the COVID-19 public emergency. For some, it ended as much as a year ago, when the federal transportation mask mandate abruptly ended on April 18, 2022, with a federal judge’s ruling. For others, the pandemic was never taken seriously, so ending the emergency is a nonissue.

Yet the SARS-CoV-2 virus that causes COVID-19 still circulates, and will continue to do so for the foreseeable future. New variants continue to emerge as people get infected. The good news is that hospital systems are no longer strained by people who get infected, as over 58% of counties have low community transmission levels, while slightly less than 1% are at a medium level and less than 0.5% are at a high transmission level.

What May 11 signifies is that COVID-19 no longer officially poses a public health risk for the majority of the population. What is also highlighted is that public health must be taken more seriously than the nation has previously.

So what can be done?

First, make sure the Center for Disease Control and Prevention is not subject to political influence.

There have been 19 directors of the CDC or its predecessors. They have historically been appointed by the president (or by extension, the Health and Human Services Secretary). Now, any director appointed after Jan. 20, 2025, will require Senate approval.

What streamlined the nation during the pandemic was how the various countermeasures just followed the CDC were publicized. The White House even interfered with what the CDC could do and what its director could communicate. Whether it was mandatory face coverings, vaccine mandates, or even social distancing recommendations, the polarized responses based on party affiliation created public health schisms that led to more infections, and, in many cases, more deaths.

The United States topped 1 million deaths attributed to COVID-19. Whether those were deaths due solely to COVID-19 or deaths with COVID-19 as a contributing factor, what is certain that a large group of people died prematurely when infected.

With Rochelle Walensky stepping down as the head of the CDC in June, letting a bipartisan committee identify her replacement would be a step in the right direction. Anytime public health is politicized, the results are certain to be less than optimal, creating chaos when the need for calm is paramount.

Tracking public health, providing funding

Second, a valuable activity for public health agencies like the CDC is surveillance. Whether it is emerging infectious diseases, or data associated with trends on obesity, smoking (both from cigarettes and vaping) and pediatric immunization, having a reliable and objective agency tracking such information is critical for the nation’s public health wellbeing.

One challenge is that the majority of what the CDC does and the information it reports is often not positive. Providing what seems like an endless stream of bad news does not make the CDC popular in the eyes of the population.